This article was downloaded by: On: 24 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



### Journal of Macromolecular Science, Part A

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713597274

## Atom Transfer Radical Polymerization of Methyl Methacrylate Using Telechelic Tribromo Terminated Polyurethane Macroinitiator

Hemant Verma<sup>a</sup>; Tharanikkarasu Kannan<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Hans Raj College, Department of Chemistry, University of Delhi, Delhi, India <sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Delhi, Delhi, India

Online publication date: 05 April 2010

To cite this Article Verma, Hemant and Kannan, Tharanikkarasu(2010) 'Atom Transfer Radical Polymerization of Methyl Methacrylate Using Telechelic Tribromo Terminated Polyurethane Macroinitiator', Journal of Macromolecular Science, Part A, 47: 5, 407 - 415

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/10601321003659671 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10601321003659671

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

# Atom Transfer Radical Polymerization of Methyl Methacrylate Using Telechelic Tribromo Terminated Polyurethane Macroinitiator

## HEMANT VERMA<sup>1</sup> and THARANIKKARASU KANNAN<sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Hans Raj College, Department of Chemistry, University of Delhi, North Campus, Delhi, India <sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Delhi, North Campus, Delhi, India

Received August 2009, Accepted October 2009

Novel telechelic tribromo terminated polyurethane (Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>) was used as a macroinitiator in atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP) of methyl methacrylate using CuBr as a catalyst and *NN*,*N*,*N*",*N*"-pentamethyldiethylenetriamine (PMDETA) as a ligand. During the course of polymerization, poly(methyl methacrylate)-*b*-polyurethane-*b*-poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA-*b*-PU*b*-PMMA) tri-block copolymers were formed. The resulting tri-block copolymers were characterized by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) and <sup>1</sup>H nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy. Molecular weight of the tri-block copolymers increases with increasing conversion. This result shows Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>/CuBr/PMDETA initiating system polymerized methyl methacrylate through ATRP mechanism. NMR spectroscopy results revealed that apart from bromine atom transfer from Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>to PMDETA-CuBr complex, bromine atom transfer from the initially formed tri-block copolymer to PMDETA-CuBr complex also takes place, and, as a result, double bond terminated copolymer formed. Mole ratio of polyurethane and poly(methyl methacrylate) present in the PMMA-*b*-PU-*b*-PMMA tri-block copolymers was calculated using <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectroscopy and it was found to be comparable with the mole ratio calculated through GPC results. Differential scanning calorimetric results confirmed the presence of two different phases in the tri-block copolymers.

Keywords: Atom transfer radical polymerization, multifunctional macroinitiator, polyurethane,  $\beta$ -scission, poly(methyl methacrylate)

### **1** Introduction

The poor control over degree of polymerization, molecular weight distribution (MWD) and end groups of the polymers obtained in conventional radical polymerization can be improved by replacing it with controlled radical polymerization (CRP) (1–5). Atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP) (6, 7) is one of the most promising CRP routes, where organic halide and copper halide are used as an initiator and a catalyst respectively with suitable ligands. Bromo (8) and chloro (9) functionalized polymers were effectively used in ATRP to prepare star, block and graft copolymers. As polyurethane (PU) is a versatile polymer which can be synthesized according to the need of the end users, PU based macroinitiator for ATRP would generate interesting block copolymers. In this point of view, telechelic polyurethane with -CH<sub>2</sub>Br functional group at both ends

was successfully synthesized in our lab and used as a ATRP macroinitiator to prepare tri-block copolymers (10, 11). But, during the initiation, polyurethane with  $-CH_2$  radical at both ends was formed and this radical is less stable, as it is a primary radical. As a result, tri-block copolymers with broader MWD were formed. To overcome this, telechelic polyurethane with  $-(CH_3)_2Br$  end groups was effectively used to prepare tri-block copolymers with narrow MWD (12). As Br terminated PU was used effectively in ATRP as macroinitiator, in the next step, we prepared, for the first time, novel telechelic tribromo-terminated polyurethane macroinitiator (Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>) and effectively used to polymerize styrene (13). During the polymerization of St using Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>, we expected six polystyrene chains out of six Br atoms attached at the end of Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub> macroinitiator. Interestingly, after one bromine atom was transferred from one side of Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub> during polymerization, other two bromine atoms become less reactive and hence only one polystyrene chain was formed at the one side of Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>. As a result, polystyrene-*b*-PU-*b*-polystyrene triblock copolymers were formed and no other reactions were found. However, when MMA was polymerized using this multifunctional initiator, interesting results are obtained

<sup>\*</sup>Address correspondence to: Tharanikkarasu Kannan, Department of Chemistry, University of Delhi, North Campus, Delhi 110 007, India. Tel.: +91-11-27666646 Ext. 187, Fax: +91-11-27666605, E-mail: tharani@chemistry.du.ac.in

and, hence, it is presented in this paper as a separate report. It is important here to mention that 2,2,2-tribromoethanol itself was used as an ATRP initiator for the polymerization of MMA, but there was no side reactions during the polymerization (14). But, in the present case, when tribromo terminated polyurethane macroinitiator is used in ATRP on methyl methacrylate, some interesting reactions occurred and these reactions were not discussed in detail by any research group. Hence, detailed discussion on ATRP of MMA using tribromo terminated macroinitiator is presented in this report.

### 2 Experimental

### 2.1 Materials

Toluene diisocyanate (TDI, mixture of 80% 2,4 and 20%) 2,6 isomers), dibutyltin dilaurate, 2,2,2-tribromoethanol (TBE, 97%) and PMDETA were used as received from Aldrich, U.S.A. Analytical grade N, N-dimethylformamide (DMF, CDH, India) was distilled under reduced pressure and the middle portions were used after storing over type 4 Å molecular sieves. Poly (tetramethyleneoxide) glycol of molecular weight 1000 (PTMG, Aldrich, USA) was used after drying for 3 h at 105°C under reduced pressure. CuBr (CDH, India) was purified as described in the reported procedure (15) just prior to use. The inhibitor present in MMA (CDH, India) was removed by washing with 5% aqueous NaOH, washed again with distilled water, and stored over anhydrous sodium sulfate for 24 h. It was then distilled at reduced pressure and the middle portion was stored at 0-4°C until use. All other chemicals were of analytical grades and were used as received.

#### 2.2 Characterization Methods

Fourier-transform nuclear magnetic resonance (FT-NMR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker DPX-300 NMR instrument using deuterated dimethyl sulfoxide as a solvent and tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. Fouriertransform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were recorded on a Nicolet Impact 400 FTIR spectrophotometer. MWD, number-average  $(M_n)$  and weight-average  $(M_w)$  molecular weights were determined by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) using Polymer Laboratories GPC 50 integrated system equipped with differential refractometer (RI Detector) and PLgel 5 $\mu$ m MIXED-C column. Tetrahydrofuran was used as an eluent at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min and the molecular weights were calibrated using polystyrene standards. Glass transition temperatures were determined using differential scanning calorimeter (DSC) Q200 (TA instruments, USA) at a heating rate of 10°C/min under N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out using DTG-60 instrument (Shimadzu, Japan) at a heating rate of 10°C/min under N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere.

# 2.3 Synthesis of Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub> and Polymerization of MMA using Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>/CuBr/PMDETA Initiating System

Tribromo terminated polyurethane, Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub> was synthesized as described in our previous report (13). For the polymerization of MMA, first accurately weighed Br3-PU-Br<sub>3</sub> was dissolved in DMF and known quantity of PMDETA, CuBr and MMA were added successively. The homogeneous reaction mixture was degassed by three alternate freeze-pump-thaw cycles, sealed under vacuum and placed in a thermo-stated oil bath controlled to  $\pm 0.01^{\circ}$ C for selected period of time. At the end of the stipulated period, the sealed polymerization tube was removed from the oil bath and the reaction was arrested by dipping the sealed tube in an ice-salt mixture. The resulting solution was poured into a 10-fold excess of methanol and the resulting precipitate was filtered using sintered-glass crucible, washed with methanol, dried in vacuum, and weighed. The dried PMMA-b-PU-b-PMMA tri-block copolymer samples were washed thoroughly with acetonitrile to remove homo PMMA, if any, from the block copolymers, dried in vacuum, and weighed.

### 3 Results and Discussion

### 3.1 Polymerization of MMA using Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>/CuBr/ PMDETA Initiating System

To check the efficiency of Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>, MMA polymerization was carried out using Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>/CuBr/PMDETA initiating system as outlined in Scheme 1. During the polymerization, PMMA-b-PU-b-PMMA tri-block copolymers are formed as a major product as shown in Scheme 1. In general, multifunctional ATRP initiators tend to undergo side reactions such as branching and  $\beta$ -scission (16). The effect of changing initiator concentration is necessary to understand these side reactions. As Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>is also a multifunctional macroinitiator, two different initiator concentrations (1:1:1 and 0.5:1:1 mole ratios of Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>/CuBr/PMDETA) were used in the present investigation. Here the concentration of Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>was calculated based on its number average molecular weight derived from GPC (cf. Tables 1 and 2). To select the polymerization temperature, initially, the polymerization was carried out at 80°C, but there was no polymerization and the polymerization at 90°C was sluggish. However, the polymerization at 100°C was not sluggish and, hence, 100°C was chosen as the polymerization temperature.

Though Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub> initiated MMA, it is important to understand mechanism of polymerization. For this, effect of changing polymerization time on MMA polymerization was carried out. Tables 1 and 2 show the effect of time on MMA polymerization using 1:1:1 and 0.5:1:1 mole ratios of Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>/CuBr/PMDETA respectively. Here, for the calculation of conversion, weight of [MMA]<sub>0</sub>was considered and weight of [Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>]<sub>0</sub> was not considered, as



Sch. 1. Synthesis of PMMA-b-PU-b-PMMA tri-block copolymers.

chain length of Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub> is same in the initiator as well as in the final tri-block copolymers. Time-conversion and time-ln ( $[M]_0/[M]$ ) plots for MMA polymerization using 1:1:1 mole ratio are shown in Figure 1 and the same plots for 0.5:1:1 mole ratio are shown in Figure 2. The linear increase of ln ( $[M]_0/[M]$ ) in Figures 1 and 2 shows both mole ratios generated and maintained constant concentration of propagating radicals throughout studied period of time. Figure 3 shows conversion- $\overline{M}_n$  and conversion-MWD plots for the polymerization of MMA using 1:1:1 mole ratio of Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>/CuBr/PMDETA initiating system. Similarly conversion- $\overline{M}_n$  and conversion-MWD plots for MMA polymerization using 0.5:1:1 mole ratio are given in Figure 4. In both the cases, as the conversion increases,  $\overline{M}_n$  also increases. This result shows that the present initiating system polymerized MMA through ATRP mechanism.

	Time (h)	Conv. <sup>a</sup> (%)	Molar content of PMMA <sup>b</sup> (%)	Molar content of PMMA <sup>c</sup> (%)	$M_n \times 10^{-3} \ (th)^d$	GPC results			
Code No.						$\overline{M_n \times 10^{-3}}$	$\bar{M}_w  imes 10^{-3}$	$\bar{M}_w/\bar{M}_n$	f <sup>e</sup>
Br <sub>3</sub> -PU- Br <sub>3</sub>	0	0.0	_	0.0	_	6.1	8.1	1.33	_
TBCP 1	3	7.4	42.0	54.8	7.9	13.5	19.7	1.46	0.58
TBCP 2	7	17.2	67.8	83.2	10.4	36.4	57.5	1.58	0.28
TBCP 3	11	27.0	73.2	88.1	12.8	51.6	86.6	1.68	0.24
TBCP 4	15	36.8	77.0	90.0	15.3	61.1	95.3	1.56	0.25
TBCP 5	18	44.2	81.1	91.0	17.1	68.0	95.2	1.40	0.25

Table 1. Effect of time on ATRP of MMA using 1:1:1 mole ratio of Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>/CuBr/PMDETA at 100°C

<sup>a</sup>Conversion determined gravimetrically.

<sup>b</sup>Molar content of PMMA was calculated by comparing integration values of the peaks derived from -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub> protons of PTMO and -CH<sub>3</sub> protons of PMMA blocks in <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra (19).

<sup>e</sup>Molar content of PMMA was calculated by using  $\overline{M}_n$  values of Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub> and PMMA-*b*-PU-*b*-PMMA derived from GPC.

 ${}^{d}M_{n,th} = z + \bar{M}_{n}$  of Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub> (16) where  $z = ([MMA]_{0}/[Br_{3}-PU-Br_{3}]_{0}) \times MMA$ .

$$^{e}\mathbf{f} = M_{n,th}/M_{n,GPC}$$
 (16)

Polymerization conditions:  $[Br_3-PU-Br_3]_0 = 1.0 \text{ g} (1.0/6100 = 0.164 \text{ mmol}), [PMDETA]_0 = [CuBr]_0 = 0.164 \text{ mmol}, DMF = 10 \text{ ml}, [MMA]_0 = 0.04075 \text{ mol}.$ 

	Time (h)	Conv. <sup>a</sup> (%)	Molar content of PMMA <sup>b</sup> (%)	Molar content of PMMA <sup>c</sup> (%)		GPC results			
Code No.					$M_n \times 10^{-3} \ (th)^d$	$\overline{M_n \times 10^{-3}}$	$\bar{M}_w  imes 10^{-3}$	$\bar{M}_w/\bar{M}_n$	f <sup>e</sup>
Br <sub>3</sub> -PU-Br <sub>3</sub>	0	0.0	_	0.0	_	6.1	8.1	1.33	_
POLY 1	3	7.4	51.2	62.5	7.6	16.3	29.6	1.82	0.46
POLY 2	7	14.7	63.7	78.0	9.0	27.8	55.0	1.98	0.32
POLY 3	11	22.1	71.2	85.5	10.6	42.3	87.9	2.08	0.25
POLY 4	15	29.4	76.3	89.8	12.0	59.9	103.6	1.73	0.20
POLY 5	18	35.6	89.9	91.5	13.3	72.5	116.7	1.61	0.18

Table 2. Effect of time on ATRP of MMA using 0.5:1:1 mole ratio of Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>/CuBr/PMDETA at 100°C.

<sup>a</sup>Conversion determined gravimetrically.

<sup>b</sup>Molar content of PMMA was calculated by comparing integration values of the peaks derived from -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub> protons of PTMO and -CH<sub>3</sub> protons of PMMA blocks in <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra (19).

<sup>e</sup>Molar content of PMMA was calculated by using  $\overline{M}_n$  values of Br<sub>3</sub>-PU- Br<sub>3</sub> and PMMA-b-PU-b-PMMA derived from GPC.

 ${}^{d}M_{n,th} = ([MMA]_0/[Br_3-PU-Br_3]_0) \times \text{monomer conversion} + \overline{M}_n \text{ of } Br_3-PU-Br_3 (16).$ 

 ${}^{e}\mathbf{f}=M_{n,th}/\bar{M}_{n,GPC}$  (16).

Polymerization conditions:  $[Br_3-PU-Br_3]_0 = 0.5 \text{ g} (0.5/6100 = 0.082 \text{ mmol}), [PMDETA]_0 = [CuBr]_0 = 0.164 \text{ mmol}, DMF = 10 \text{ ml}, [MMA]_0 = 0.04075 \text{ mol}.$ 

In any living polymerization,  $\overline{M}_{n,th}$  should be comparable to  $\overline{M}_{n,GPC}$ . In the present investigation, as it is shown in Tables 1 and 2, as well as Figures 3 and 4, there is a discrepancy between  $\overline{M}_{n,th}$  and  $\overline{M}_{n,GPC}$ . This may be due to the involvement of second bromine atom present in the triblock copolymer A of Scheme 2 that leads to the formation of branched copolymer C, PMMA radical D and double bond terminated block copolymer E. Due to these side reactions, MWD values of the tri-block copolymers from both the mole ratios are not narrow enough. Apparent initiator efficiency ( $\mathbf{f} = M_{n,th}/\overline{M}_{n,GPC}$ ) is also calculated for different polymerization time and it is high for TBCP 1 and POLY 1 and low for TBCP 3 and POLY 5. The discrepancy between  $M_{n,th}$  and  $\overline{M}_{n,GPC}$  is the main reason for the low

1.0 50 40 0.8 30 0.6 Conversion (%) 0.0 In([M]/[M] 20 10 0.2 0.0 0 12 16 8 20 Polymerization Time (hr.)

f values. But, similar range of f values was also reported during the synthesis of poly (hydroxyethyl methacrylate)*b*-poly (ethylene oxide)-poly (hydroxyethyl methacrylate) tri-block copolymers through ATRP (17).

### 3.2 Spectral Characterization of PMMA-*b*-PU-*b*-PMMA Tri-Block Copolymers

The tri-block copolymers were characterized, first, by FTIR spectroscopy to confirm their structure. Figure 5(b) shows the FTIR spectrum of PMMA-*b*-PU-*b*-PMMA triblock copolymer obtained at 7 h. (TBCP 2 in Table 1). For better comparison FTIR of Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub> is also included in Figure 5. The stretching vibrations of carbonyl groups



**Fig. 1.** Time-conversion and time-ln( $[M]_0/[M]$ ) plots for the polymerization of MMA at 100°C using 1:1:1 mole ratio of Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>/PMDETA/CuBr initiating system. [Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>]<sub>0</sub> = 1.0 g (1.0/6100 = 0.164 mmol), [PMDETA]<sub>0</sub> = [CuBr]<sub>0</sub> = 0.164 mmol, DMF = 10 ml, [MMA]<sub>0</sub> = 0.04075 mol.

**Fig. 2.** Time-conversion and time-ln( $[M]_0/[M]$ ) plots for the polymerization of MMA at 100°C using 0.5:1:1 mole ratio of Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>/PMDETA/CuBr initiating system. [Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>]<sub>0</sub> = 0.5 g (0.5/6100 = 0.082 mmol), [PMDETA]\_0 = [CuBr]\_0 = 0.164 mmol, DMF = 10 ml, [MMA]\_0 = 0.04075 mol.



**Fig. 3.** Conversion- $\overline{M}_n$  and conversion- $\overline{M}_w/\overline{M}_n$  plots for the polymerization of MMA at 100°C using 1:1:1 mole ratio of Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>/PMDETA/CuBr initiating system. [Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>]<sub>0</sub> = 1.0 g (1.0/6100 = 0.164 mmol), [PMDETA]\_0 = [CuBr]\_0 = 0.164 mmol, DMF = 10 ml, [MMA]\_0 = 0.04075 mol.

present in urethane and ester groups of PMMA blocks are observed as a single peak at  $1722 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The C-H stretching vibrations of methylene groups present in PU and PMMA are observed in the region  $2787-2949 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and N-H stretching vibrations are observed at  $3190-3390 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The C-H bending vibrations of methylene groups present in PU and PMMA are observed at  $1428-1595 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The C-H bending vibrations of -CH<sub>3</sub> groups present in PU blocks (derived from TDI) and PMMA blocks are observed at  $1355-1388 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . All the peaks corresponding to Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub> and new peaks corresponding to PMMA blocks are present in the FTIR spectrum of the tri-block copolymer further support the formation of PMMA-*b*-PU-*b*-PMMA



**Fig. 4.** Conversion- $\overline{M}_n$  and conversion- $\overline{M}_w/\overline{M}_n$  plots for the polymerization of MMA at 100°C using 0.5:1:1 mole ratio of Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>/PMDETA/CuBr initiating system. [Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>]<sub>0</sub> = 0.5 g (0.5/6100 = 0.082 mmol), [PMDETA]<sub>0</sub> = [CuBr]<sub>0</sub> = 0.164 mmol, DMF = 10 ml, [MMA]<sub>0</sub> = 0.04075 mol.

tri-block copolymers. The stretching vibrations of C-H and C=C groups present in the double bond terminated block copolymer E (cf. Scheme 2) appeared as little peaks at 3007 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1601 cm<sup>-1</sup>respectively. PMMA-*b*-PU-*b*-PMMA tri-block copolymers were also characterized by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectroscopy. Figure 6(b) shows <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of the tri-block copolymer obtained at 7 h (TBCP 2 in Table 1) and the spectral data for the tri-block copolymer is given in Table 3 along with the spectral data of Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>. In the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of the tri-block copolymer, the - CH<sub>3</sub> protons of PMMA gives three different peaks and presence of these three peaks indicates the presence of PMMA with three different tacticities. Syndiotactic (rr), atactic (mr) and isotactic (mm) PMMA show peaks at 0.78 ppm, 0.98 ppm and 1.20 ppm, respectively.



Sch. 2. Side reactions occurred during the polymerization of MMA using Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>/PMDETA/CuBr initiating system.



**Fig. 5.** FTIR spectra of (a) Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub> and (b) PMMA-*b*-PU-*b*-PMMA tri-block copolymer, TBCP 2.

tons of -OCH<sub>3</sub> protons of PMMA blocks appeared at 3.68 ppm. The -CH<sub>2</sub> and -OCH<sub>2</sub> groups of PTMG were appeared at 1.51 ppm and 3.31 ppm, respectively. The peak for -CH<sub>2</sub> protons of PMMA blocks is appeared in the region 1.88-1.95 ppm along with -CH<sub>3</sub>protons of TDI. The terminal methylene protons present in CH2-CBr3of Br3C-PU- $CBr_3$  appeared at 4.99 ppm (13). The disappearance of the peak at 4.99 ppm in the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of tri-block copolymers suggest that bromine atom from Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub> was effectively transferred to CuBr/PMDETA complex. The tacticity ratio of PMMA prepared in the present investigation is rr:rm:mm = 56:37:7 and this ratio is more or less similar to the reported tacticity ratio (rr:rm:mm = 58:37:5) of PMMA prepared by ATRP of MMA (18). The molar content of PMMA in tri block copolymers can easily be found out by comparing molecular weights (ob-



**Fig. 6.** <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of (a) Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>, (b) PMMA-*b*-PU-*b*-PMMA tri-block copolymer, TBCP 2, (c) expanded spectrum of TBCP 2, (d) TBCP 5 and (e) expanded spectrum of TBCP 5.

tained by GPC) of  $Br_3$ -PU- $Br_3$  and tri-block copolymers which are given in Tables 1 and 2. It can also be found from the integration values of the peaks corresponding to -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>- group of PTMO at 1.5 ppm and -CH<sub>3</sub> protons of PMMA blocks at 0.78-1.20 ppm as reported in the literature (19). The molar contents of PMMA in the tri-block copolymers were calculated using <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra and the results are given in Tables 1 and 2. The

Table 3. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR data of Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub> and PMMA-*b*-PU-*b*-PMMA tri-block copolymers

Br <sub>3</sub> -PU- Br <sub>3</sub>		PMMA-b-PU-b-PMMA tri-block copolymers		
<sup>1</sup> H	Chemical Shift (ppm)	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	Chemical Shift (ppm)	
Terminal Br <sub>3</sub> C-CH <sub>2</sub> -	4.99	-N-H	8.63-9.30	
-N-H	8.63-9.28	$C_6H_3$ (CH <sub>3</sub> )NH-	7.10-7.53	
$C_6H_3$ (CH <sub>3</sub> )NH-	7.10-7.48	$C_6H_3$ (CH <sub>3</sub> )NH-	1.88-1.95	
$C_6H_3$ (CH <sub>3</sub> )NH-	2.16	-CH <sub>2</sub> of PTMG	1.51	
-CH <sub>2</sub> - of PTMG	1.50	$-OCH_2$ of PTMG	3.31	
-O-CH <sub>2</sub> - of PTMG	3.32	$-OCH_3$ of PMMA	3.68	
-CH <sub>2</sub> -O-CO-NH-	4.06-4.14	-CO-O-CH2-CH2-	4.11	
		-CH <sub>3</sub> of PMMA	0.78-1.20	
		$-CH_3$ of PMMA (rr)	0.78	
		$-CH_3$ of PMMA (mr)	0.99	
		$-CH_3$ of PMMA (mm)	1.20	



**Fig. 7.** <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra of (a) Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>and (b) PMMA-*b*-PU-*b*-PMMA tri-block copolymer, TBCP 2.

molar content values of PMMA from GPC and <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra are comparatively similar.

Figure 7 shows <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra of tri-block copolymer TBCP 2 and Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>. The C=O groups of PMMA resonate in the region 176.22–177.16 ppm. The peaks corresponding to CH<sub>2</sub> and OCH<sub>2</sub> groups of PTMG appeared at 26.11 and 69.76 ppm respectively. The -CH<sub>3</sub> groups of PMMA resonate at 16.24 ppm, 18.55 ppm and 21.50 ppm which correspond to syndiotactic (rr), atactic (mr) and isotactic (mm) PMMA respectively (20). The -CH<sub>3</sub> groups present in PU and PMMA blocks appeared in the region 16.24–18.55 ppm. The -OCH<sub>3</sub> groups of PMMA generated three peaks at 43.96 ppm, 44.33 ppm and 44.40 ppm and these three peaks are due to syndiotactic (rr), atactic (mr) and isotactic (mm) PMMA, respectively (20).

### 3.3 Side Reactions Occurred During ATRP of MMA

In addition to the peaks corresponding to the polyurethane and PMMA blocks there are two additional peaks at 5.60 ppm and 6.01 ppm in Figure 6(c). If this is due to the presence of protons attached to the double bond of unre-

acted MMA, then, the methyl protons present in MMA should be present at 1.946 ppm. As there is no peak at 1.946 ppm in Figure 6(b), these additional peaks are not due to the unreacted MMA and they should be due to some other double bond. Literature survey shows that branching and  $\beta$ -scission are some of the side reactions which may take place when polyhaloalkane initiators are used in ATRP (16). Based on this observation, the probable side reactions that may take place in the present system are shown in Scheme 2. When Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub> is used as an initiator, it forms tri-block copolymer A (cf. Scheme 2) with two bromine atoms at the junction of PU and PMMA blocks. When one of the above mentioned bromine atom is also transferred, tri-block copolymer radical B is formed and this radical is less reactive towards MMA due to the steric hindrance induced by adjacent neopentyl-like groups (16). As a result, branched block copolymer C is a less probable side product. The more probable side reaction is  $\beta$ -scission in tri-block copolymer radical B. This side reaction lead to a new PMMA radical D and double bond terminated block copolymer E as given in Scheme 2. This mechanism is very well matching with the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR results. The presence of two peaks at 5.60 ppm and 6.01 ppm in Figure 6(c) are due the H<sub>a</sub> and H<sub>b</sub> respectively (cf. Scheme 2). Another possibility of double bond formation is through conventional disproportionation reaction. But according to Koichi Hatada et al., the double bond from disproportionation in PMMA radical shows two peaks at 5.40 ppm and 6.14 ppm (21). But, in the present case, it is 5.60 ppm and 6.01 ppm. This result clearly shows that the peaks correspond to double bond are not due to the disproportionation, but, they are due to the  $\beta$ -scission (cf. Scheme 2). Here  $H_a(5.60 \text{ ppm})$  resonates more downfield than the hydrogen (5.40 ppm) of the double bond formed through conventional disproportionation. This is due to the simple reason that the  $H_a$  is *cis* to urethane group which is an electron withdrawing group whereas the hydrogen from the disproportionated PMMA is *cis* to -CH<sub>2</sub> group which is electron donating group. Similarly,  $H_b(6.01 \text{ ppm})$  resonates more upfield than the hydrogen (6.14 ppm) of the double bond formed through conventional disproportionation. This is because of the reason that the  $H_b$  is *cis* to Br whereas the other hydrogen is *cis* to ester group. It is well known that due to the anisotropic effect present in ketone, hydrogen cis to ester group resonate more downfield than the  $H_b$  cis to Br atoms where only isotropic effect is present. The formation of double bond block copolymer, E (Scheme 2) was further investigated for both the mole ratios (1:1:1 and 0.5:1:1 mole ratios of Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>/CuBr/PMDETA) at different polymerization time. The intensity of peaks at 5.60 ppm and 6.01 ppm is high for TBCP 2 and POLY 2 as shown in Figure 6(c) and 8(a), respectively. However, as the conversion increases the intensity decreases and at 18 h, the block copolymer, POLY 5 has no double bond in its structure as shown in Figure 8(b). The disappearance of double bond may be due the less intensity of  $H_a$  and

 $H_b$  protons at 18 h or all the  $H_a$  and  $H_b$  protons might have been reacted already as 18 h is too long time for any double bond to exist at ATRP conditions. But, these results confirm that the additional signals at 5.60 ppm and 6.01 ppm are due the atom transfer reaction and not due to the conventional disproportionation reaction. The presence of this side reaction may be additional reason for the inconsistent relationship between  $M_{n,th}$  and  $\overline{M}_{n,GPC}$  as shown in Figures 3 and 4. However these types of results were not occurred during the polymerization of styrene under the same conditions (13). The reason for this discrepancy might be due the presence of less hindered styrene groups as compared to the more hindered neopentyl like groups present in PMMA-b-PU-b-PMMA tri-block copolymers. Similar types of results has been reported for the polymerization of meth (acrylates) using polychloroalkanes through ATRP mechanism (16).



**Fig. 8.** <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of (a) PMMA-*b*-PU-*b*-PMMA tri-block copolymer, POLY 2 and (b) POLY 5.



**Fig. 9.** DSC curves of (a) Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>and (b) PMMA-*b*-PU-*b*-PMMA tri-block copolymer, TBCP 2.

### 3.4 Thermal Studies

PMMA-*b*-PU-*b*-PMMA tri-block copolymer obtained at 7 h (TBCP 2 of Table 2) was characterized by DSC and the results are presented in Figure 9 along with the DSC curves of Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>. All the glass transition temperatures ( $T_g$ ) considered in this investigation are the middle point between onset and offset points. The  $T_g$  of the polyol segment present in Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub> appeared at  $-38^{\circ}$ C. The glass transition temperatures of polyol and PMMA segments in PMMA-*b*-PU-*b*-PMMA tri-block copolymers are appeared at  $-13^{\circ}$ C and  $120^{\circ}$ C, respectively. The  $T_g$  of the PMMA block is similar to the literature value of PMMA with tacticity ratio of *rr:rm:mm* = 56:37:6 (22). The two glass transition temperatures present in the DSC curves of PMMA-*b*-PU-*b*-PMMA tri-block copolymers show that the block copolymers exist in phase separated nature.

The TGA curve for PMMA-b-PU-b-PMMA tri-block copolymer (TBCP 2 in Table 2) is given in Figure 10(b). Thermal stability of Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>was also studied and the TGA curve of Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>along with its derivative curve is given in Figure 10(a). Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>undergoes two-stage decomposition, one is at 259°C which is due to the decomposition of the -NHCOO groups and another is at 336°C which is due to the decomposition of PTMG blocks. In the case of tri-block copolymer, the decomposition is not in stages but the overall thermal stability of PMMA-b-PU-b-PMMA triblock copolymers is higher than the Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>. Thermal degradation of standard radically prepared PMMA under nitrogen atmosphere proceeds in three steps. First decomposition is at 165°C which corresponds to the cleavage of head-to-head linkage, second step is at 270°C which corresponds to the chain-end initiation from the vinylidene ends and last degradation is at 360°C which is due to the



**Fig. 10.** TGA curves of (a) Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>and (b) PMMA-*b*-PU-*b*-PMMA tri-block copolymer, TBCP 2.

random scission of PMMA chain (21, 23). Major thermal degradation of the tri-block copolymers synthesized with Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>/CuBr/PMDETA initiating system occurred around 370°C originating from random scission. This result is a further indication of the absence of abnormal linkages therefore confirming the high regioselectivity and virtual absence of unwanted termination reactions.

### 4 Conclusions

For the first time, telechelic tribromo-terminated polyurethane, Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>, was successfully used to polymerize MMA. Br<sub>3</sub>-PU-Br<sub>3</sub>/CuBr/PMDETA initiating system follows ATRP mechanism which was confirmed from the linear increase of conversion- $\bar{M}_n$  plots. Discrepancy between  $\bar{M}_{n,th}$  and  $\bar{M}_{n,GPC}$  values is due to the side reactions. The presence of double bonds at the end of tri-

block copolymers was confirmed by NMR spectroscopic analysis. Apparent initiator efficiency was found to be less because of the side reactions. However, linear increase of  $\overline{M}_n$  with increase of conversion is the clear evidence to confirm the formation of block copolymers was through ATRP mechanism. The molar percentage of PMMA was calculated using <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra and it is matching with GPC results. Spectral and thermal studies also confirmed the formation of PMMA-*b*-PU-*b*-PMMA tri-block copolymers.

### Acknowledgments

Authors would like to thank the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), New Delhi, India for financial support to this project (No. 01 (2262)/08/EMR-II dated 1/11/2008).

#### References

- Wayland, B.B., Poszmik, G. and Mukerjee, S.L. (1994) J. Am. Chem. Soc., 116, 7943–7944.
- 2. Webster, O.W. (1991) Science, 251, 887-893.
- Steenbock, M., Klapper, M., Mullen, K., Bauer, C. and Hubrich M. (1998) Macromolecules, 31, 5223–5228.
- Chung, T.C., Janvikul, W. and Lu, H.L. (1996) J. Am. Chem. Soc., 118, 705.
- Otsu, T. and Yoshida, M. (1982) Die Makromolekulare Chemie, Rapid Communications, 3, 127–132.
- 6. Matyjaszewski, K. and Xia, J. (2001) Chem. Rev., 101, 2921-2990.
- Kamigaito, M., Ando, T. and Sawamoto, M. (2001) Chem. Rev., 101, 3689–3746.
- Sha, K., Li, D., Li, Y., X., Liu, S. Wang, J. Guan and Wang, J. (2007) J. Polym. Sci. Part A: Polym. Chem., 5037.
- Sha, K., Li, D.S., Li, Y., Ai, P., Liu, X., Wang, W., Xu, Y., Wang, S., Wu, M., Zhang, B., and Wang, J. (2006) J. Polym. Sci. Part A: Polym. Chem., 3393.
- 10. Verma, H. and Tharanikkarasu, K. (2008) Polym. Intl., 57, 226-232.
- 11. Tharanikkarasu, K., Verma, H., Jang, W., Lee, S.K. and Han, H. (2008) J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 108, 1538–1544.
- 12. Verma, H. and Tharanikkarasu, K. (2008) Polym. J., 40, 867-874.
- 13. Verma, H. and Tharanikkarasu, K. (2009) J. Macromol. Sci., Part-A: Pure and Appl. Chem., A46, 179–185.
- Hawker, C.J., Hedrick, J.L., Malmstrom, E.E., Trollsås, M., Mecerreyes, D., Moineau, G., Dubois, P. and Jerome, R. (1998) *Macromolecules*, 31, 213.
- 15. Keller, R.N. and Wycoff, H.D. (1947) Inorg. Synth., 2, 1.
- Destarac, M., Matyjaszewski, K. and Boutevin, B. (2000) Macromol. Chem. Phys., 201, 265–272.
- 17. Reining, B., Keul, H. and Hocker, H. (2002) Polymer, 43, 3139.
- Uegaki, H., Kotani, Y., Kamigaito, M. and Sawamoto, M. (1997) Macromolecules, 30, 2249–2253.
- Higaki, Y., Otsuka, H. and Takahara, A. (2006) *Polymer*, 47, 3784– 3791.
- Matsuzaki, K., Uryu, T. and Asakura, T. NMR Spectroscopy and Streoregularity of Polymers, Japan Scientific Societies Press, Tokyo, 1996.
- Hatada, K., Kitayama, T., Fujimoto, N. and Nishiura, T. (1993) J. Macromol. Sci., Part A: Pure and Appl. Chem., 30, 645–667.
- Wunderlich, W. Polymer Handbook, Third Edition. Brandrup, J. Immergut, E.H., Eds. John Wiley & Sons, Toronto, 1989 (p. V/78).
- 23. Granel, C., Dubois, P., Jerome, R. and Teyssie, P. (1996) Macromolecules, 29, 8576–8582.